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## ABSTRACT

Refractive indexes of sugar solution at different concentrations are determined using the image formation in plane mirror and convex lens. Specific rotations of polarized light in sugar solution are determined at different concentration. A discussion and comparison of the result have been present.

## INTRODUCTION

Light goes slower in water than air, and slower slightly in air than vacuum. This effect is described by the refractive index of the medium. All materials are consisted of atoms, which contain electrons. When radiation from source falls on the atoms it drives the electrons up and down. Now any moving electron generates a field. Thus the total field is not just the field of the source, but it is modified by the addition contribution from the other moving charges. This modification occurs in such a way that the field inside the material appears to be moving at a different speed.

It is very complicated to find the exact equation of motion of a moving charge inside a material under the external field. For simplicity we consider a material in which the field is not modified very much by the motion of the other charges. That corresponds to a material in which the index of refraction is very close to unity. This type of situation arises when the density of the material is very low.

The standard expression for refractive index is

$$
\mu=1+N q_{\mathrm{e}}{ }^{2} / 2 \mathbb{C}_{0} \mathrm{~m}\left(\boldsymbol{N}_{0}^{2}-\boldsymbol{N}^{2}\right)
$$

Here, $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{e}}=$ charge of an electron.

$$
\mathrm{m}=\text { mass of electron. }
$$

= is the angular frequency of incident radiation.
$\boldsymbol{A}_{0}=$ is the angular frequency of natural oscillation of electron.
In case of vacuum $N=0$, i.e. $\mu=1$

The above expression demands that the refractive index depends on density of atom.

In the present project work we study the variation of refractive index of sugar solution with variation in concentration.

Sugar molecules have property of optical activity, i.e. they can rotate the plane of polarized light. In this project work we have compared the variation of specific rotation of polarized light in sugar solution with varying concentration.

## WORKING FORMULA OF THE EXPERIMENT

The focal length $f$ of a lens is given by formula,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 / \mathrm{f}=(\mu-1)\left(1 / r_{1}-1 / r_{2}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mu$ is the refractive index of the material of the lens and $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ are the radii of curvature of its first and second surfaces. Considering proper signs and $u$ sing values of $f, r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ we get,

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1 / f=(\mu-1)\left(1 / r_{1}+1 / r_{2}\right) \\
& \text { Or, } \mu=1+r_{1} r_{2} / f\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The convex lens $C$ is placed on a plane mirror $M$ so that its particular surface, whose radius of curvature was measured, may touch the mirror. A horizontal pointer is moved vertically up and down along the axis of the lens until there is no parallax between the tip of the pin and its own real image. Thus the focal length $f$ can be determined using the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right) / 2 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $x_{1}$ is the distance between convex lens to the index rod, $x_{2}$ is the distance between mirror to the index rod.

If a double convex lens $C$ of focal length $f_{1}$ is placed over a few drops of liquid placed on a plane mirror, then a Plano-concave lens of focal length $f_{2}$ is formed between the lower surface of the convex lens and the plane mirror. If F be the focal length of the combination, then we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
1 / F=1 / f_{1}+1 / f_{2} \\
\text { Or, } f_{2}=-F f_{1} / F-f_{1} \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Finding $f_{1}$ and $F$ experimentally by coincidence method, and putting their values in the relation (4) we can calculate $f_{2}$. Again, the focal length $f_{2}$ of the Plano- concave liquid lens is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1 / f_{2}=(\mu-1)\left(1 / r-1 / r^{\prime}\right)=(\mu-1) 1 / r \quad\left[\therefore r^{\prime}=\alpha\right] \\
& \text { Or, } \mu=1+r / f_{2} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $r$ is the radius of curvature of the lower surface of the convex lens. The value of $r$ can be measured by a spherometer, using the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=d^{2} / 6 h+h / 2 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $d$ is the mean distance between any two consecutive legs of the spherometer and $h$ is the displacement of the screw tip when it touches consecutively the lower surface of the lens and a plane surface.

The knowing $f_{2}$ and $r$ and putting their numerical value in equation (4) we can calculate $\mu$, the refractive index of the liquid.

The rotation $\theta$ of the plane of polarisation of polarised light by an active solution of length $/ \mathrm{cm}$ (or, $\mathrm{l} / 10 \mathrm{dm}$ ) containing mg of active substance per c.c. of the solution is given by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\mathrm{s} 1 \mathrm{~m} / 10 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $s$ is the specific rotation of the substance, which is the rotation produced by a solution of active substance in a non-active solvent of one decimetre in length containing 1 gm of active substance per c.c. of the solution.

Using the formula $\theta=s 1 \mathrm{~m} / 10$, we can determine the specific rotation of polarised light.

## APPARATUS

1) A vertical stand with pin.
2) A convex lens.
3) Plane mirror.
4) $20 \%$ sugar solution.
5) The arrangement of a bi-quartz polarimeter.
6) Tube and biker.

## PROCEDURE

1) The radius of curvature of one surface of the convex lens is determined by spherometer. Thus we get $r$.
2) The convex lens $C$ is placed on a plane mirror $M$ so that its particular surface, whose radius of curvature was measured, may touch the mirror. A horizontal pointer is moved vertically up and down along the axis of the lens until there is no parallax between the tip of the pin and its own real image. This is repeated three times and the mean of these three values give $f$.
3) A few drops of liquid are now placed on the plane mirror and the surface of the lens, which was originally in contact with the mirror, is now placed over liquid. The mean of the three values of the focal length $f_{2}$ can be determined.
4) Varying the concentration of liquid (sugar) we get many focal length $f_{2}$.
5) By putting the mean numerical values of $f_{2}$ and $r$ we can calculate $\mu$.
6) To find $\theta$ for solution of $c_{1} \%$ strength: the water in the tube $T$ is thrown away and after washing the tube $T$ for two or three times by a little of the solution of $c_{1} \%$ strength, the whole tube is now completely filled with the solution of $c_{1} \%$ strength and it is placed in its proper position. As in term (ii), the tube $T_{2}$ is rotated to make the two halves of the field equally bright or equally greyish violet and the mean of the three readings of vernier $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ are noted. If $R_{1}$ and $R_{1}^{\prime}$ are respectively the mean readings of verniers
$V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ then we get $\Theta_{1}=\left(R_{1} \sim R_{0}\right)$ and $\theta_{2}=\left(R_{1}^{\prime} \sim R_{0}^{\prime}\right)$. Hence mean rotation for solution of $c_{1} \%$ strength is $\theta=\left(\Theta_{1}+\theta_{2}\right) / 2$.
7) To dilute the solution of $c_{1} \%$ strength to other lower strengths and then to find rotations for those strengths: the x c.c. of stock solution of $c_{1} \%$ strength is mixed with y c.c. of distilled water to have $\mathrm{c}_{2} \%$ strength.

By washing the tube $T$ with a little of this new solution of $c_{2} \%$ strength for two or three times, the whole tube $T$ is filled $c_{2} \%$ strength solution by avoiding any air bubble. By placing the tube $T$ in its proper place the mean rotation of the plane of polarisation by this solution is determined in the manner as mentioned in term (iii).
8) By adopting the process mentioned in term (iv) solutions of other lower strengths are prepared and the mean rotations of the plane of polarisation for those solution are determined.
9) A graph is then drawn by plotting the strength of the solution $c$ along x axis and its corresponding rotation $\theta$ along y axis. The graph would be a straight line.

## EXPERIMENTAL DATA

## A)Determination of $\mathrm{h}:-$

Value of each division of linear scale $=s=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.
No of division of the circular scale $=\mathrm{N}=100$.
Pitch of the screw $=P=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Least count of the instrument $=I . C=P / N=1 / 100=0.01 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Distance between two outside legs $=\mathrm{d}=(4.1+4.2+4.2) / 3 \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{m}=4.167 \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{m}$
TABLE-1

| Surface position | No of obs. | Initial c.s reading when the screw touches the convex spherical surface( $\left.R_{1}\right)$ | When the screw touch the plane |  |  | Total no. of C.S.D rotated $=x$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{h}= \\ \text { x .I.c } \\ \text { in } \\ \mathrm{mm} . \end{gathered}$ | Mean <br> $h$ in cm. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | No of full rotation of circular $\operatorname{disc}(m)$ | Final circular scale reading $\left(R_{2}\right)$ | Add. <br> No of C.S div. rotated n |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1^{\text {st }} \\ \text { surface } \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 69 | 2 | 90 | 79 | 279 | 2.79 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1^{\text {st }} \\ \text { surface } \end{gathered}$ | 2 | 65 | 2 | 9 | 56 | 256 | 2.56 |  |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ <br> surface | 3 | 74 | 2 | 90 | 84 | 284 | 2.84 | 0.2684 |


| $1^{\text {st }}$ <br> surface | 4 | 76 | 2 | 28 | 48 | 248 | 2.48 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ <br> surface | 5 | 69 | 2 | 94 | 75 | 275 | 2.75 |  |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ <br> surface | 1 | 94 | 2 | 96 | 98 | 298 | 2.98 |  |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ <br> surface | 2 | 68 | 2 | 97 | 71 | 271 | 2.71 |  |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ <br> surface | 3 | 66 | 2 | 93 | 73 | 273 | 2.73 | 0.2762 |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ <br> surface | 4 | 55 | 2 | 89 | 66 | 266 | 2.66 |  |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ <br> surface | 5 | 63 | 2 | 90 | 73 | 273 | 2.73 |  |

## B) Find the radius $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ :-

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{1} & =d^{2} / 6 h_{1}+h_{1} / 2 \\
& =17.36 / 6 \times 0.2762+0.2762 / 2 \\
& =10.48+0.138=10.618 \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{m} . \\
R_{2} & =d^{2} / 6 h_{2}+h_{2} / 2 \\
& =17.36 / 6 \times 0.2684+0.2684 / 2 \\
& =10.78+0.134=10.914 \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{m} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## C) DETERMINE FOCAL LENGTH AND REFRACTIVE INDEX, WITHOUT WATER AND WITH WATER:-

|  | Distance <br> between <br> convex and <br> index rod <br> $x_{1}$ in c.m. | Distance <br> between <br> mirror and <br> index rod <br> $x_{2}$ in c.m. | Focal <br> length <br> $f=\left(x_{1}+\right.$ <br> $\left.x_{2}\right) / 2$ in <br> c.m. | Refractive <br> index <br> $\mu$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Without <br> water | 19.5 | 20.5 | 20 | 1.5309 |



## D)DATA FOR CONCENTRATION VS REFRACTIVE INDEX:-

TABLE-3

| $\%$ <br> Concentration | Distance <br> between <br> convex and <br> index rod $x_{1}$ <br> in c.m. | Distance <br> between <br> mirror and <br> index rod $x_{2}$ <br> in c.m. | Focal <br> length $f=($ <br> $\left.x_{1}+x_{2}\right) / 2$ in <br> c.m. | Refractive <br> index <br> $\mu$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \%$ | 28.7 | 29.7 | 29.2 | 1.363 |
| $4 \%$ | 28.1 | 29.1 | 28.6 | 1.371 |
| $6 \%$ | 26.6 | 27.6 | 27.1 | 1.392 |
| $8 \%$ | 25.9 | 26.9 | 26.4 | 1.402 |
| $10 \%$ | 24.8 | 25.8 | 25.3 | 1.420 |
| $12 \%$ | 24 | 25 | 24.5 | 1.433 |
| $14 \%$ | 23.2 | 24.2 | 23.7 | 1.448 |
| $16 \%$ | 22.8 | 23.8 | 23.3 | 1.456 |
| $18 \%$ | 21.7 | 22.7 | 22.2 | 1.478 |
| $20 \%$ | 20.2 | 21.2 | 20.7 | 1.513 |



DATA FOR C VS. $\mu^{2}$

| \% STRENGTH (C) OF SOLUTION | REFRACTIVE INDEX ${ }^{2}\left(\mu^{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2 \%$ | 1.857769 |
| $4 \%$ | 1.879641 |
| $6 \%$ | 1.937664 |
| $8 \%$ | 1.965604 |
| $10 \%$ | 2.0164 |
| $14 \%$ | 2.053489 |
| $16 \%$ | 2.119936 |
| $18 \%$ | 2.184484 |
| $20 \%$ | 289169 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

E) To find V.C. of verniers $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ :

| Smallest value in <br> main scale in <br> degree | Value of vernier division | Vernier constants of $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ and <br> $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ in degree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $10 / 9$ | $(1-9 / 10)^{*} 1$ <br> $=0.1^{0}$ |

F) To find the length of the tube $T$ between the inner surfaces of its two ends plates:-

$$
I=(20.3+20.4+20.5) / 3=20.4 \mathrm{~cm}
$$

G) Vernier readings when pure water fills the tube T:-

$$
\text { TABLE - } 1
$$

| Readings for $1^{\text {st }}$ vernier $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ |  |  |  | Readings for second vernier $\mathrm{V}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Circular scale readings( s) in degree | Vernier readings (V.r) | Total $\mathbf{R}_{0}$ in degree | Mean $\mathrm{R}_{0}$ in degre e | Circular scale readings( s) in degree | Vernier readings (V.r) | Total $\mathrm{R}_{0}$ in degree | Me an $\mathrm{R}_{0}$ in deg ree |
| 259 | 1 | 259.1 |  | 79 | 6 | 79.6 |  |
| 260 | 1 | 260.1 | 259.47 | 80 | 1 | 80.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 80 . \\ & 77 \end{aligned}$ |
| \% 259 | 2 | 259.2 |  | 79 | 6 | 79.6 |  |

H) To find the rotation of the plane of polarisation when the tube T contains of different known strengths:-

TABLE- 2

| \% <br> strength of solution (c) | vernier | Circular scale (s) in degree | Vernier readings (v.r) | Total in degree | Mean readings in degree | Rotation of the vernier in degree | Mean <br> Rotation $=\theta$ in degree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20\% | $V_{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 230 \\ & 231 \\ & 230 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 4 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 230.2 \\ & 231.4 \\ & 230.8 \end{aligned}$ | 230.8 | 28.67 | 29.67 |
|  | $V_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 49 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 6 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50.5 \\ & 49.6 \\ & 50.2 \end{aligned}$ | 50.1 | 30.67 |  |
| 18\% | $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 235 \\ & 234 \\ & 235 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 9 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 235.6 \\ & 234.9 \\ & 235.2 \end{aligned}$ | 235.23 | 24.24 | 24.56 |
|  | $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & 56 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 2 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55.8 \\ & 56.2 \\ & 55.7 \end{aligned}$ | 55.9 | 24.87 |  |
| 15\% | $V_{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 239 \\ & 238 \\ & 239 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 9 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 239.2 \\ & 238.9 \\ & 239.5 \end{aligned}$ | 239.2 | 20.27 | 20.385 |
|  | $V_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 59 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 5 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60.5 \\ & 59.5 \\ & 60.8 \end{aligned}$ | 60.27 | 20.5 |  |
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## DISCUSSION ON THE RESUIT

There are various empirical relations concerning dependence of refractive index of a medium on its density proposed. For example, $\mu^{2}-1 / \rho=$ constant. This is the Laplace's formula, $\left(\mu^{2}-1 / \mu^{2}+2\right) 1 / \rho=$ constant which is called Lorentz-Lorentz formula. Recently Macdonald has given a dependence, $\mu^{2}-1 / \mu \rho=$ constant. However in the project work we found that our result is in good agreement with the Laplace's formula. The nature of $\mu^{2}$ vs. concentration graph.

The specific rotation polarized of the sugar solution increase with concentration. Actually rotation of polarization plane is connected to the structure of sugar molecule, therefore the specific rotation naturally increase with the concentration. This does not mean that the specific rotation is directly related to the phenomena of origin of refractive index. This is because only the optically active materials are of importance in case of specific rotation. But in case of refractive index, all transparent medium are concerned.

## PRECAUTION

1) Each time the reading must be taken for particular surface of the convex lens.
2) Focussing of the index rod must be accurate as possible.
3) Preparation of a solution of different concentrations must be done with high accuracy because the change in focal length is small due to change in concentration.
4) If we had used travelling microscope in place of centimetre scale to measure the highest than we would get more accurate result.
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## ABSTRACT

In this project work, Fermi energy and Fermi temperature of cooper is determined by studying resistance variation at different temperature. The resistance of the material increases linearly with variation of temperature. The obtained numerical values are compared with slandered values.
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## AIM OF THE WORK

1. Determination of Fermi energy of Good conductor (copper) by study -ing, resistance variation at different temperatures.
2. Therefore, calculation of Fermi temperature of the specimen.

## Introduction:

"Fermi level" is the term used to describe the top of the collection of electronic energy levels at absolute zero temperature. This concept comes from Fermi Dirac statistics. Electrons are fermions and by the Pauli's Exclusion Principle cannot exist in identical energy states. So at absolute zero they pack into the lowest available energy states and build up a "Fermi sea" of electron energy states. The Fermi level is the surface of that sea at absolute zero where electrons will have enough energy to rise above the surface. The concept of the Fermi energy is important for the understanding of the electrical and thermal properties of the solids. Both ordinary electrical and thermal processes involve energies of a small fraction of an electron volt. But the Fermi energies of metals are of the order of few electron volts. This implies that the vast majority of the electrons cannot receive energy for these processes because there are no available energy states for them to go to within a fraction of an electron volt of their present energy. At higher temperatures a certain fraction, characterized by the Fermi function, will exist above the Fermi level. For a metal, the density of conduction electrons can be implied from the Fermi energy. The Fermi energy also plays an important role in
understanding the mystery of why electrons do not contribute significantly to the specific heat of solids at ordinary temperatures.Further, in metals, Fermi energy gives us information about the velocities of the electrons, which participate in ordinary electrical conduction.

## THEORY

The Fermi velocity VF of these conduction electrons can be calculated from the Fermi energy EF using the relation,

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{F}}=\sqrt{(2 \mathrm{~F} / \mathrm{m})}
$$

Where $m=9.1 \times 10-31 \mathrm{~kg}$ is the mass of electron.Ef is Fermi energy. $V_{F}$ is Fermi Velocity

This speed is a part of the microscopic Ohm's Law for electrical conduction. A Fermi gas is a Collection of non-interacting fermions. It is quantum mechanical version of ideal gas. Electrons in metals and semiconductors can be approximately considered as Fermi gases. The Energy distribution of the fermions in a Fermi gas in thermal equilibrium is determined by their density, the temperature and the set of available energy states using Fermi-Dirac statistics. It is possible to define a Fermi temperature below which the gas can be considered degenerate. This temperature depends on the mass of the fermions and the energy. For metals, the electron gas's Fermi temperature is generally many thousands of Kelvin, so they Can be considered degenerate. Fermi temperature $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}$ can be obtained by the relation

$$
\mathrm{EFF}_{\mathrm{F}}=\mathrm{k} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{F}}
$$

Where $\mathrm{k}=1.38 \times 10-23 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~K}$-1 is Boltzmann constant.
The number of free electrons in metal per unit volume is given by,

$$
\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}} \rho / \mathrm{M}
$$

Where $N=6.023 \times 1026$ per $m_{3}$ is Avogadro number. $\rho=$ density of the metal $\mathrm{M}=$ Mass number of the metal

The electrical conductivity of the metal,

$$
\sigma=\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{RA}
$$

Where $L$ is the length of the metal wire, $R$ is its resistance at a reference temperature $a$ is the area of cross-section of the wire.

The relaxation time is given by

$$
\tau=\sigma \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{ne}^{2}
$$

Where $\mathrm{e}=1.602 \times 10-19 \mathrm{C}$ is electron charge.
If $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{F}}$ is Fermi velocity, then mean free path of electrons,

$$
\lambda_{\mathrm{F}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{F}} \tau
$$

With all these, expression of Fermi energy comes out

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{F}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{Ane}^{2} \pi \mathrm{r}^{2}\right) / \mathrm{L} \sqrt{2 m}\right]^{2} \times\left(\frac{\Delta R}{\Delta T}\right)^{2}
$$

Where the constant $\mathrm{A}=\lambda_{F} \times \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{T}$ is the reference temperature of the wire in Kelvin, $r$ is the radius of the wire and $(\Delta R / \Delta T)$ is the slope of the straight line obtained by plotting resistance of the metal wire against absolute temperature of the metal wire.

## APPARTUS USED:

1. Solenoid of copper wire.
2. D.C regulated power supply ( $0-2 \mathrm{~V}$ ).
3. Meter bridge.
4. Thermometer of range (0-200) degree.
5. Table galvanometer.

## Experimental Procedure

1. About 6 meter length copper wire is taken and its radius is determined and cross sectional area is calculated. Its mass number and density are noted from Clark's table.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{L}_{\text {copper }}=6 \mathrm{~m} \text {, Radius } \mathrm{r}=0.112 \times 10^{\wedge}-3 \\
& \text { Density } \rho=8930 \mathrm{Kg} \mathrm{~m}-3
\end{aligned}
$$

Mass number $\mathrm{M}=63.54 \mathrm{gm}$
2. The wire is wound over an insulating tube $(10-15 \mathrm{~mm}$ dia) to form a coil. The coil is Immersed in pre heated water as shown in the experimental setup. The two end of the coiled wire is connected across another gap of Meter Bridge and power supply is connected to the bridge.
3. A thermometer is immersed in the beaker containing water and coil. When the
Thermometer attains steady temperature the temperature is noted.
4. The power supply is switched on and voltage and currents are noted In Table1. The Liquid is allowed to cool and power supply is switched off until another steady Temperature is reached.
5. Trial is repeated taking reading in the interval of 5 degree and until the temperature reach 45 degree. At each temperature the voltages and currents measured are noted in Table-1.
6. A graph is drawn taking temperature in degree K along X -axis and resistance on $Y$ axis as Shown in Figure-2. The slope of straight line is calculated and substituting this value find Fermi energy of the wire.


EXPERIMENAL DATA
Measurement of resistivity of Meter Bridge wire ( $\rho$ ):


## DATA FOR RESISTANCE VARIATION WITH TEMPERATURE

Density of meter bridge wire $\rho=0.03211 \Omega / \mathrm{cm}, \mathrm{L}=6 \mathrm{~m}$, resistance in box at room temperature $\mathrm{r}=2.1 \Omega$


## DATA FOR RESISTANCE VARIATION WITH TEMPERATURE

Density of meter bridge wire $\rho=0.03211 \Omega / \mathrm{cm}, L=8 \mathrm{~m}$, resistance in box at room temperature $\mathrm{r}=2.1 \Omega$

| Temperature |  | Null point in cm at corresponding temperature(I) | $\left(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{t}_{0}\right) \mathrm{in} \mathrm{cm}$ | Corresponding copper wire resistance $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{r}+2 \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{O}}\right)$ in cm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\ln 0 \mathrm{c}$ | In k |  |  |  |
| 95 | 368 | 56.84 | 9.84 | 2.73 |
| 90 | 363 | 56.37 | 9.37 | 2.70 |
| 80 | 353 | 55.59 | 8.59 | 2.63 |
| 70 | 343 | 54.70 | 7.70 | 2.568 |
| 60 | 333 | 53.24 | 6.24 | 2.50 |
| 50 | 323 | 52.15 | 5.15 | 2.43 |
| 40 | 313 | 50.90 | 3.90 | 2.43 |
| 30 | 303 | 49.96 | 2.96 | 2.35 |




## CALCULATION

Length of the copper wire $(\mathrm{L})=6 \mathrm{~m}$ , radius of wire $(r)=0.12 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$ Cross sectional area of the wire $=\pi r^{2}=4.53 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$

Density $\rho=8930 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
Mass number $\mathrm{M}=63.54 \mathrm{~g}$
At $T=303 \mathrm{~K}$
Electron density $=\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}} \rho / \mathrm{M}=\left(6.023 \times 10^{23} \times 8930\right) / 0.06354$

$$
=8.46 \times 10^{28} \quad / \mathrm{kg} . \mathrm{mol}
$$

The electrical conductivity $\sigma=\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{RA}=6 /\left(2.35 \times 4.53 \times 10^{-8}\right)$

$$
=0.563 \times 10^{8} / \Omega \mathrm{m}
$$

Fermi velocity for copper $=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{F}}=1.57 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$
The relaxation time $\tau=\sigma \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{ne}^{2}=2.37 \times 10^{-14} \mathrm{~s}$
Mean free path $\lambda_{F}=V_{F} \times \tau=1.57 \times 10^{6} \times 2.37 \times 10^{-14}=3.72 \times 10-^{8} \mathrm{~m}$
Constant, $A=\lambda_{F} T=3.72 \times 10^{-8} \times 303=1.12 \times 10^{-5}$
Slope of the resistance versus temperature graph $=(\Delta R / \Delta T)=5.86 \times 10^{-3}$
With all these, Fermi energy comes out to be

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{F}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{Ane}^{2} \pi \mathrm{r}^{2}\right) / \mathrm{L} \sqrt{2 m}\right]^{2} \times\left(\frac{\Delta R}{\Delta T}\right)^{2}
$$

$=\left[\left(1.51 \times 10^{-21}\right) /\left(1.079 \times 10^{-14}\right)\right]^{2} \times(7.014 \times 10)^{2}$
$\quad=6.28 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}=4.0 \mathrm{ev}$

Fermi temperature $T_{F}=\left(6.28 \times 10^{-19}\right) /\left(1.38 \times 10^{-23}\right)$
$=45.68 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{k}$

## CALCULATION

Length of the copper wire $(\mathrm{L})=8 \mathrm{~m}$ , radius of wire $(r)=0.12 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$

Cross sectional area of the wire $=\pi r^{2}=4.53 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
Density $\rho=8930 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
Mass number $\mathrm{M}=63.54 \mathrm{~g}$
At $T=303 \mathrm{~K}$
Electron density $=n=N_{A} \rho / M=\left(6.023 \times 10^{23} \times 8930\right) / 0.06354$

$$
=8.46 \times 10^{28} \quad / \mathrm{kg} . \mathrm{mol}
$$

The electrical conductivity $\sigma=L / R A=8 /\left(2.25 \times 4.53 \times 10^{-8}\right)$.

$$
=0.78 \times 10^{8} / \Omega \mathrm{m}
$$

Fermi velocity for copper $=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{F}}=1.57 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$
The relaxation time $\tau=\sigma \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{ne}^{2}=3.28 \times 10^{-14} \mathrm{~s}$
Mean free path $\lambda_{F}=V_{F} \times \tau=1.57 \times 10^{6} \times 3.28 \times 10^{-14}=5.14 \times 10-^{8} \mathrm{~m}$
Constant, $A=\lambda_{F} T=5.14 \times 10^{-8} \times 303=1.55 \times 10^{-5}$
Slope of the resistance versus temperature graph $=(\Delta R / \Delta T)=7.014 \times 10^{-3}$
With all these, Fermi energy comes out to be

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{F}}=\left[\left(\mathrm{Ane}^{2} \pi \mathrm{r}^{2}\right) / \mathrm{L} \sqrt{2 m}\right]^{2} \times\left(\frac{\Delta R}{\Delta T}\right)^{2}
$$

Fermi energy $E_{F}=\left[\left(1.51 \times 10^{-21}\right) /\left(1.079 \times 10^{-14}\right)\right]^{2} \times\left(7.014 \times 10^{-3}\right)^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =9.63 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J} \\
& =6.02 \mathrm{ev}
\end{aligned}
$$

Fermi temperature $=\left(9.63 \times 10^{-19}\right) /\left(1.38 \times 10^{-23}\right)$

$$
=69.782 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~K}
$$

## RESULT:

| Metal <br> wire | length | Fermi energy |  | Fermi temperature |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | theoretical | experimental | experimental theoretical |  |
| Copper | 6 m | 7 ev | 4.0 ev | $45.68 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~K}$ | $80 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{k}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## DISCUSSION

1. Since copper wire is good conductor, the variation of its resistance with temperature is very small.
2. The variation in low temperature is uniform than in high temperature.
3. If we increase the radius of the wire, then resistance will decrease. To
4. Get better result we have to take large length and small radius of the wire.
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## ABSTRACT

Using $U$ tube arrangement the surface tension of water at different temperatures are determined. The phenomena of capillary rising are implied. The result of the experiment is in agreement with the standard result.

## INTRODUCTION

Surface tension is a fundamental property of all liquid surfaces and exhibits itself in many ways. In our daily life we see that small quantities of liquids tend to become spherical in shape and soap film, tend to become extended. This phenomenon is mentioned above lead us to belief the skin like behavior of the surface of the liquid i.e, as if a thin membrane is covering the liquid surface.
The contractile character of the surface layer of a liquid is not due to any real existence of surface tension but it is due to the intermolecular actions resulting in the existence of molecular surface energy and the contractile character of the surface as evidenced is not due to any tension coming to play but due to the fact that surface molecules of a system in equilibrium tend to attain minimum potential energy.

The surface tension of all liquids decrease linearly with rise of temperature, when the temp. range is small. Surface tension $S$ at temperature $t$ is $S=S_{0}(1-\alpha t)$

Where $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ is the value of the surface tension at o degree centigrade and $\alpha$ is the temperature coefficient of surface tension. The above expression may also be written in the from

$$
\mathrm{dS} / \mathrm{dt}=-\mathrm{S}_{0} \alpha=-\mathrm{K}
$$

At critical temperature $T_{c}$ the value of surface tension is zero. According to Vander walls the surface tension at absolute temperature T is
$\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{S}_{0}\left[1-\left(\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)\right]^{3 / 2}$.
Where $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{o}}$ is the constant for the liquid.
Then $\frac{d s}{d t}=-\frac{3 S_{0}}{2 T_{C}}(1-\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{Tc})^{1 / 2}$.

$$
\text { or } \frac{1}{S_{0}} \frac{d s}{d t}=-\frac{3}{2 T_{c}}(1-\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{Tc})^{1 / 2}
$$

Therefore $\frac{d s}{d t}=0$, when $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{Tc}$
Thus both S and $\frac{d s}{d t}$ are zero at critical temperature.
A modify formula, according to Ferguson $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{S}_{0}\left[1-\left(\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)\right]^{\mathrm{n}}$
where n is constant. For a single liquid but varies slightly from liquid to liquid. The mean value of is 1.21 .

Let M be the molecular weight of the liquid and $\rho$ its density. The surface area occupied by by gm-molecule, assuming the molecule are symmetrical in shape, is proportional to $(\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{p})^{2 / 3}$. This surface is called molar surface. Surface energy in the molar surface is nronortional to $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{\rho})^{2 / 3}$ and is known as molar energy

According to Eotvos law, $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{\rho})^{2 / 3}=\mathrm{K}\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}-\mathrm{T}\right)$, where K is universal constant known as Eotvos constant. The approximate value of K is 2.2 .

The relation was corrected by Ramsey and Shilds giving $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{\rho})^{2 / 3}=\mathrm{K}\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}-\mathrm{T}-\delta\right)$,
The constant $\delta$ has a value between 6 and 8 for more solids. From this relation we see that the surface tension is zero when $T=T_{c}-\delta$.

Differentiating we get, $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{dT}\left[\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{\rho})^{2 / 3}\right]=-\mathrm{K}$

## THEORY

This method is used to compare the surface tension of a liquid at different temperatures. The apparatus consists of a U-tube with limbs of different cross-sections. The experimental liquid is taken in the U-tube and the same is mounted inside a suitable temperature bath.

The pressure just below the meniscus in the limb $A B$, inside the liquid is

$$
\mathrm{P}-\left(2 \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{r}_{1}\right) \quad[\mathrm{P} \text { is the atmospheric pressure }]
$$

The pressure just below the meniscus in the limb $C D$, inside the liquid is

$$
\mathrm{P}-\left(2 \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{r}_{2}\right)
$$

Where $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ the radii of the bores of the two limbs.

Therefore the difference of pressures in the two limbs of the U-tube

$$
\begin{aligned}
p & =2 S\left[\left(1 / r_{1}\right)-\left(1 / r_{2}\right)\right] \\
& =h \rho g \text { or } S=h \rho g / 2\left[\left(1 / r_{1}\right)-\left(1 / r_{2}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The values of $S$ are found for different temperatures $t$ and a graph is then plotted between the temperatures $t$ and the corresponding values of S.From the graph we see that surface tension of the liquid decreases with rise of temperature and becomes zero at a particular temperature $t_{c}$ known as critical temperature which depends on the nature of the liquid.Taking two points $A\left(t_{1}, S_{1}\right)$ and $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{t}_{2}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)$ on the graph, we see that the gradient of the graph gives us the temparatures coefficient of surface-tension i.e.,

$$
\mathrm{dS} / \mathrm{dt}=\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}-\mathrm{S}_{2}\right) /\left(\mathrm{t}_{2}-\mathrm{t}_{1}\right) .
$$

## APPARATUS

1. A capillary U-tube
2. A thermometer
3. Microscope
4. Electric heater

## PROCEDURE

1. At first we take a U-tube and its contain water. There is no bubble.
2. A thermometer and this U-tube are dropped into the cold water partially.
3. We set up the travelling microscope and then we take the reading of cross-mark.
4. With increasing temperature, we reading the height of the liquid at two part of the U-tube I, e; narrow and wide tube.
5. Next we calculate the radius of the narrow and wide tube.
6. We already calculate the value of $h$ and also we know at particular temperature value of $p$ is constant. Then we calculate the value of surface tension of the liquid. Hence we plotted this value of surface tension of the liquid at different temperature on the graph paper.

## Experimental data

Reading for mark point:

| Narrow tube of U tube |  | Wide tube of U tube |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Scale reading in cm | v.r. | Total in cm <br> $\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)$ | Scale reading in cm | v.r. | Total in cm <br> $\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}\right)$ |
| 1.35 | 44 | 1.394 | 7.95 | 40 | 7.99 |

Data for measurement of $\mathrm{x}_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{2}^{\prime}$.

| Temp. Of liq. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | For wide tube height of liquid level in cm |  |  | For narrow tube height of liquid level in cm |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Scale reading in cm | v.r. | Total in cm ( $\mathrm{x}_{2}^{\prime}$ ) | Scale reading in cm | v.r. | Total in cm ( $\mathrm{x}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) |
| 10 | 10.4 | 3 | 10.403 | 7 | 47 | 7.047 |
| 14 | 10.4 | 15 | 10.415 | 7 | 26 | 7.026 |
| 18 | 10.4 | 38 | 10.438 | 7 | 34 | 7.034 |
| 20 | 10.50 | 2 | 10.502 | 7.05 | 36 | 7.086 |
| 22 | 10.55 | 41 | 10.591 | 7.15 | 8 | 7.158 |
| 24 | 10.65 | 4 | 10.654 | 7.2 | 9 | 7.209 |
| 26 | 10.70 | 42 | 10.742 | 7.25 | 36 | 7.286 |
| 28 | 10.9 | 21 | 10.921 | 7.45 | 4 | 7.454 |
| 30 | 11.10 | 16 | 11.116 | 7.6 | 36 | 7.638 |
| 34 | 11.3 | 46 | 11.346 | 7.8 | 36 | 7.836 |
| 40 | 11.5 | 32 | 11.532 | 7.95 | 44 | 7.994 |

## Data for measurement of $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ :-

| Direction <br> of obs. | Reading for left and <br> lower end of the bore |  |  | Reading for right to <br> upper end of the bore |  |  | $\mathrm{D}=$ <br> $\mathrm{R} 1-$ <br> R 2 in <br> in | $\mathrm{D}_{1}{ }^{1}=$ <br> $D_{1}+D 2$ <br> 2 | D in <br> cm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Scale | v.r. | Total <br> in cm | Scale | v.r. | Total <br> in cm |  <br> cm |  |  |
| Horizontal | 14 | 49 | 14.049 | 14 | 32 | 14.032 | 0.017 | 0.0115 |  |
| Vertical | 6.45 | 20 | 6.47 | 6.45 | 26 | 6.476 | 0.006 |  | 0.0475 |
| Horizontal | 14.2 | 4 | 14.204 | 14.1 | 22 | 14.122 | 0.082 | 0.0835 |  |
| Vertical | 6.35 | 29 | 6.279 | 6.35 | 14 | 6.364 | 0.085 |  |  |
| Horizontal | 13.95 | 12 | 13.962 | 13.95 | 2 | 13.952 | 0.01 | 0.0065 |  |
| Vertical | 6.65 | 43 | 6.693 | 6.65 | 40 | 6.69 | 0.003 |  |  |
| Horizontal | 6.65 | 30 | 6.68 | 6.6 | 10 | 6.61 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.0965 |
| Vertical | 14 | 30 | 14.03 | 14.1 | 40 | 14.14 | 0.11 |  |  |

Radius of Narrow tube $\mathrm{r}_{1}=\frac{D_{1}}{2}=\frac{0.0475}{2}=0.02375 \mathrm{~cm}$
Radius of wide tube $\mathrm{r}_{2}=\frac{D_{2}}{2}=\frac{0.0965}{2}=0.04825 \mathrm{~cm}$


## Data for measurement of $h_{2}$ and $h_{1}$ :-

| Tempr. Of <br> liquid in Degree <br> Centegrate | For wide tube <br> $\mathrm{h}_{2}=x_{2}^{1}-\mathrm{x}_{2}$ in <br> cm | For nano wtube <br> $\mathrm{h}_{1}==x_{1}^{1}-\mathrm{x}_{1}$ in <br> cm | $\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{h}_{2}-\mathrm{h}_{1}$ in cm | Surface tension <br> $\mathrm{s}=\frac{h \rho g}{2\left(\frac{1}{r_{1}}-\frac{1}{r_{2}}\right)}$ <br> $\mathrm{dyn} / \mathrm{cm}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 2.413 | 5.653 | 3.24 | 74.29 |
| 14 | 2.425 | 5.632 | 3.207 | 73.49 |
| 18 | 2.448 | 5.64 | 3.192 | 73.107 |
| 20 | 2.512 | 5.692 | 3.180 | 72.804 |
| 22 | 2.602 | 5.764 | 3.163 | 72.38 |
| 24 | 2.664 | 5.815 | 3.151 | 72.07 |
| 26 | 2.752 | 5.892 | 3.14 | 71.79 |
| 28 | 2.931 | 6.06 | 3.129 | 71.50 |
| 30 | 3.126 | 6.244 | 3.118 | 71.20 |
| 34 | 3.356 | 6.442 | 3.086 | 70.38 |
| 40 | 3.542 | 6.6 | 3.058 | 69.59 |

## PRECATION

1. The radius of the both of the narrow and wide tube measure carefully. Otherwise large error will be occurred.
2. When we noted the height of the liquid level, the venire reading must be measured carefully.

## DISCUSSION

The result is in good agreement with the standard result. The temperature dependence of surface tension of liquid can be determined in other many ways. But this method is suitable to perform in the laboratory setup.
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## Abstract

Black body radiation follows the well known Planck distribution law. At a particular temperature energy density has a peak value at certain wavelength. Then energy decreases on both sides of that wavelength. In this project we take sun as a black body and measure its energy distribution. By the sunlight through the filter we get sunlight of particular wavelength and measure its intensity by using LDR circuit. Then we plot the intensity Vs. wavelength. This confirms the radiation law.

## Introduction

Sunlight itself approximates ideal black body radiation outside the earth's atmosphere. The inability to explain such black body radiation by classical theory was itself responsible for the development of quantum mechanics. As well as reflecting light from the sun centre at much greater wavelengths because of its lower temperature.

- Absorption \& scattering of light by the earth's atmosphere reduce the intensity \& wavelength distribution of light reaching the earth's surface.
- The energy received throughout the day increasing from low intensity in the morning peaking at solar noon \& declining during the afternoon.
- The maximum radiation tricks the earth's surface when the sun is directly overhead \& sunlight has the shortest path length through the atmosphere. This path length can be approximate by $1 / \cos \phi$, Where $\phi$ is the angle between the sun $\&$ the point directly overhead.

The sun is a hot sphere of gas heated by nuclear fusion reactions at its centre. Internal temperature reach a very warm 20 million K , the intense radiation from the interior is absorbed by a lower of hydrogen ions closer to the sun's surface. Energy is transferred by convection through this optical barrier \& than reradiated from the outer surface of sun, the photo sphere. This can emits radiation approximately that from a black body with a temperature of nearly - 6000 K .

A black body is an ideal absorber \& emitter of radiation. As it is heated, it starts to glow that is to emit the electromagnetic radiation. A common example is when a metal is heated. The hotter - it gets, the shorter wavelength of light emitted \& an initial red glow gradually turns white.

- Classical physics was unable to describe the wavelength distribution of light emitted from such - a heated objected. However, in 1900, Max Planck derived a mathematical expression describing this distribution.


## Theory

If the incident light intensity is I and the LDR current is i.
Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { i } \alpha \text { I } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above proportionality relation is a direct consequence of the interpretation of intensity and photoelectric effect. Intensity is nothing but the member of photon passing unit area per unit time in a normal direction.

Thus the variation of intensity with wavelength is to be similar to the variation of LDR current with wave length of incident radiation.

In the present work we have used the radiation of visible range for study. Thus we expect as intensity distribution as shown in the Fig.(1).


Fig. 1

## Apparatus



## Procedure

(7) First the LDR is connected to regulated power supply. A fixed voltage of 2 volts is (applied. The LDR is kept in a dark box with a hole of appropriate radius. Color - paper is placed blocking the hole. Now the set-up is faced toward the sunlight such that sunlight can fall normally on LDR after passing the color paper filter.

The corresponding LDR current is noticed.
(4)

- The same thing is performed using different color filter. The investigation is performed in different four times of a day.

Plot advelength ( $\lambda$ ) is curcrent at tire 1:00 PM
$\square$

- smallest division along $x$ aris 4 +375 um I Smalles division along y aris: 0.050 ma



## Experimental Data

Observation at time 1:00 PM and date 20/02/19

| Colour filter | Wavelength $(\lambda)$ in <br> nm | LDR current $(\mathrm{I})$ in <br> mA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Red | $636-700$ | 7.1 |
| Green | $520-560$ | 7.3 |
| Light Red | $590-635$ | 8.0 |
| Yellow | $560-590$ | 11.3 |
| Blue | $450-490$ | 5.0 |

Table - 1

Plot wavelength vs current at $1: 30 \mathrm{pm}$

## Experimental Data

Observation at time 1:30 PM and date 20/02/19

| Colour filter | Wavelength $(\lambda)$ <br> in nm | LDR current (I) <br> in mA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $700-636$ | 7.0 |
| Red | $560-520$ | 7.1 |
| Green | $635-590$ | 9.0 |
| Light Red | $590-560$ | 11.0 |
| Yellow | $490-450$ | 4.7 |
| Blue |  |  |

Table - 2

2:0 Plot wavelength Ns current at 2:00 PM
$-1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \text { Smalest givtion a ongxaxis p.30.snm } \\
& \text { t Smales divition along yaxis oposisma }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Experimental Data

Observation at time 2:00 PM and date 20/02/19

| Colour filter | Wavelength $(\lambda)$ <br> in nm | LDR current (I) <br> in mA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $700-636$ | 6.7 |
| Red | $560-520$ | 6.6 |
| Green | $635-590$ | 8.2 |
| Light Red | $590-560$ | 10.3 |
| Yellow | $490-450$ | 4.8 |
| Blue |  |  |

Table - 3

Plot wavelength US curcient af 2:30 PM

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \text { smalipst divison glong } x \text { axis: 4.37jure } \\
& \text { tsmallyst drisom along y mas }=0.848 \mathrm{mn}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi \\
& \xi \\
& \vdots \\
& = \\
& \frac{1}{8} \\
& \frac{5}{3} \\
& 3
\end{aligned}
$$



Wavelength ( $\lambda$ ) innm

## Experimental Data

Observation at time 2:30 PM and date 20/02/19

| Colour filter | Wavelength $(\lambda)$ <br> in nm | LDR current (I) <br> in mA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Red | $700-636$ | 6.2 |
| Green | $560-520$ | 5.9 |
| Light Red | $635-590$ | 7.9 |
| Yellow | $590-560$ | 9.6 |
| Blue | $490-450$ | 4.0 |

Table-4

## Discussion

The experiment was performed for five different colours namely blue, yellow, red, light red and green. The corresponding wavelength are taken from supplied data but here due to lack of wavelength detector the average values of wavelengths corresponding to the above mentioned five colours are taken. However the Experiment results (through for very small range of wavelength spectra) is in well agreement with the previously predicted accurate resulting experts.
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## TITLE OF PROJECT:-BIKE CANNOT START WITHOUT HELMET

Our project consist of two circuit. One is transmitter circuit (helmet unit) another receiver circuit (bike unit) transmitter circuit block diagram given below.

## Transmitter circuit (helmet unit):-

Block diagram of transmitter circuit (helmet unit)

$\rightarrow$ Supply $\rightarrow$ Switching $\rightarrow$ Fixed Encoding $\rightarrow$| ASK RF <br> Transmitter |
| :--- |

Power supply of our helmet kit is two pair of Batteries connected in parallel battery consist of three 1.5 v button cell connected in series. For emergency use of supply we use two set of Battery. One for main supply and another for emergency use. The switch is two way slideing switch.

Switching part of helmet kit (Transmitter circuit) consist of three micro limit switch connected in parallel. One is pleased inside helmet at upper pressure point and another two pleased inside the helmet at two sides of ear pressure point. At the time of use if any one/all connects the circuit will be closed. We use three switches to eliminate chattering effect at running time of bike.

To increase the force of limit switches we use pressure plate. we use for upper pressure point oval type and ear side pressure point rectangular pressure plate

As fixed encoding we use IC HT12E.Though this IC can be use as variable or changing addressing and data. For separate addressing we choose separate addressing terminals (Pin No. 1-8) and also separate data terminals (Pin No. 10-13). Selecting address terminals connect with negative (GND) and selected data terminals and connected with positive terminals through 1 Killo ohom resistors . HT12E has own oscillator. Oscillator in put (Pin No.-16) and output (Pin No.-15) are shot by a $1 \mathrm{M} \Omega$ resistor. From Pin No-17 we get serial data out put

Block diagram of ICHT12E


Pin configuration of HT12E


| PIN NO | FUNCTION | NAME |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1-8$ | 8 BIT ADDRESS | $\mathrm{A}_{0}-\mathrm{A}_{7}$ |
| 9 | GROUND | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{DD}}$ |
| $10-13$ | 4 BIT DATA/ADDRESS PIN FOR INPUT | $\mathrm{AD}_{0}-\mathrm{AD}_{3}$ |
| 14 | TRANSMISSION ENABLE ACTIVE LOW | TE |
| 15 | OSCILLATOR INPUT | OSC 2 |
| 16 | OSCILLATOR OUTPUT | OSC |
| 17 | SERIAL DATA OUTPUT | $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{OUT}}$ |
| 18 | SUPPLY 2.4V - 12V | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Ss}}$ |
|  |  |  |

Serial data output from pin no.-17 of IC HT12E send to DATA pin of 433.9 MHz RF transmitter module. This transmitter module convert serial data to 433.9 MHz radio frequency and spread to air through antenna(ANT)of helmet unit.


## Circuit Diagram of Transmitter (Helmet) Unit



TRANSMITTER UNIT (HELMET UNIT)

## Bike unit (Receiver Kit):-

It consist of

1. Supply
2. Voltage
3. RF Receiver Module
4. Data decoding
5. Switching

## 1. Supply:-

Power supply for this bike unit does not need extra supply. We use bike's battery which is already fixed in bike as supply the power of bike electrical accessories. We connect our receiver kit to this battery through a switch.

## 2. Voltage Regulator:-

Requirement of this circuit voltage are 5 V and 12 V (For relay operation). We get 5 V de from 12 v battery through 7805 voltage regulator and 12 v from battery.

Pin configuration of 7805

3. R.F Receiver Module:-

This R.F module works for 433.9 MHZ Radio frequency. Data transmitted from transmitter module received by Antenna of this receiver module and then transfer into serial data. Receiver module output this serial data into a decoder IC HT12D. This module have 8 pin. They are ANTINA, VCC ( 2 No), Ground (3Nos) and Digital data (1 no) Analog data (1 no)
All GND connect with negative terminal of battery. All vcc connect with 5 V de of 7805 voltage output pin.
ANTINA connect with a 20 cm (approx) cu wire.
Digital data connect with Decoder IC HT12D.
Analog data blank.
4. HT12D is a decoder IC which is same pair of encoder IC HT12E. Addressing pin connection is same as encoder IC. Also data pin will be get as encoder data put. For oscillation a $47 \mathrm{~K} \Omega$ resistor connect with pin 15 and 16. Serial data output of receiver module feed to pin 14 of this IC. This serial data converted in to separate data and get from pin 10 to 13 if addressing matched.
5. Switching:-

Output data from decoder IC fed to switching transistor BC548 and this transistor operate a relay (12 v). The relay's switching terminal (NO) connect operate the bike power supply and also a No helmet indication $\operatorname{lamp}(12 \mathrm{v})$ from NC relay contact.

## Circuit Diagram of Receiver (Bike) Unit



